

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 AUBREY C. AVERY, JR.,

Case No. 2:16-CV-1774-GMN-EJY

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER

6 v.

7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, EX REL CLARK
8 COUNTY, EX REL, LVMPD, et al.,

9 Defendants.

10 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Motion of Interrogatories as
11 Impertinent. ECF No. 57. As stated in Defendants' Motion, Defendants propounded interrogatories
12 on Plaintiff who has attempted to respond through his Motion of Interrogatories. Plaintiff clearly
13 misunderstands his obligations. Plaintiff must respond to each interrogatory sent by Defendants by
14 drafting his answers and sending those answers directly to the Defendants. Plaintiff must respond
15 to each of Defendants' interrogatories separately and/or object to the interrogatory on a lawful
16 basis. Plaintiff's pro se status does not excuse him from having to follow the rules of procedure that
17 govern all litigants.

18 Recognizing that Plaintiff's Motion of Interrogatories was improper, the Court has denied
19 that Motion. Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Motion of Interrogatories, while
20 meritorious, is DENIED as moot.

21
22 DATED: December 12, 2019

23
24 
25 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAK
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28